3D Mathematical Model Characterizing the Dynamics of the Temperature Field of a Wall Structure with a Double-sided Facing from a Sapropel-hemp Composite Material
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Abstract - In this paper, a 3D mathematical model is proposed to determine the dynamics of the temperature field in a three-layer composite sapropel-hemp slab. The proposed model consists of a system of three initial-boundary value problems with respect to the temperature function for each layer, respectively, and one initial-boundary value problem with respect to the unknown velocity of heat propagation along the thickness dimension of the composite sapropel-hemp slab.

Keywords - Composite sapropel-hemp slab, temperature field, mathematical model

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the main ways to study the dynamics of the temperature field in multilayer building structures is the apparatus of the thermal conductivity theory, where the hypothesis of a continuous medium is used in modelling, which leads to obtaining linear and nonlinear differential equations: therefore, there appears a smoothness requirement both in time and by spatial variables [1]-[3] related to the functions characterizing the properties and states of the components of the medium.

The main goal of such studies is to find the temperature field inside a composite body under known initial and boundary conditions [4]. In this paper, we consider the problem of determining the temperature field in a three-layer composite slab of the parallelepiped shape, where the outer layers (fireproof facing) are bonded with hemp and sapropel mineralized fill. Since sapropel consists mainly of organic substances (ash content of organo-mineral sapropel varies within 25-50%, mineral-organic – 50-70%, mineralized – 70-85%, organic – about 30%), then over time, the temperature state of the sapropel-hemp slab will significantly depend, in addition to its heat exchange with the environment, also on the processes of heat release/heat absorption by the volume of the slab, happening due to various reasons (it must be taken into account that the viscosity of the sapropel can reduce gas exchange): for example, resulting in exothermic/endothermic chemical reactions [5]; the energy of radiation penetrating into the volume of the slab through the deformed facing [3],[6],[7]; etc. Consequently, inside the sapropel-hemp slab, internal sources of thermal energy are formed, which can have various types of dependences both on time and spatial variables, as well as on the temperature itself: for example,
if the energy release in the inner layer of a sapropel-hemp slab is caused by chemical reactions, which rate is described by the Arrhenius equation, then the dependency of the volumetric power of energy release on temperature has a reverse exponential character [5],[8].

In this work, a 3D mathematical model is proposed that describes dynamics of the temperature field in the studied sapropel-hemp slab. In the proposed mathematical model, due to the specifics of the material of the inner layer – sapropel-hemp material, it is assumed that, firstly, the temperature conductivity coefficient is a given step function along the vertical axis directed along the thickness dimension of the sapropel-hemp slab, and secondly, the velocity of heat propagation, along with the thermal field, is also considered to be the required function.

II. RESTRICTIVE ASSUMPTIONS, AND CONSTRUCTION OF MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR TEMPERATURE FIELD

Before proceeding to the description of the mathematical model, let us choose the Cartesian coordinate system so that the positive semiaxis of the vertical axis \(OX_3\), characterizing the thickness of the considered sapropel-hemp slab is directed from the outer facing of the slab to the inner side.

Fig. 1 schematically depicts the studied composite sapropel-hemp slab having the size (length, width, height/thickness, respectively) with a double-sided fireproof facing: the outer facing \(P_{0,0,0}^{k_1, k_2, k_3}\), where \(P_{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n}^{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \in [a_i, b_i], i = 1, n\},\) has a height \(l_i\); the inner fireproof facing \(P_{x_1, x_2, l_3}^{a_1, a_2, l_3}\) has a height \(L_3 - l_3\); the sapropel-hemp component (i.e. the second/inner layer) of the composite slab has a height \(l_3 - l_1\).

Now we can proceed to the construction of a mathematical model for the dynamics of the temperature field of the considered sapropel-hemp slab, having previously listed its physical and mathematical peculiarities and the necessary mathematical assumptions arising from these aspects [9]-[12]. Due to the fact that the considered composite slab is a solid non-periodic heterogeneous medium, the following three circumstances will be present in the constructed 3D mathematical model of the temperature field dynamics [8], [13]-[19]:

First, it cannot be assumed that the velocity of heat propagation is a priori given. Obviously, this circumstance also gives rise to the necessity of constructing a mathematical model to determine the unknown heat propagation velocity. Consequently, there is a need to construct a complete mathematical model consisting of two interconnected equations with the corresponding initial and boundary conditions: in the first equation with respect to scalar function \(\alpha(\theta)\), allowing to construct a one-dimensional by the spatial variable (i.e. in the vertical component) nonlinear inhomogeneous equation with respect to the heat propagation averaged over directions \(OX_1\) and \(OX_2\), vector velocity in a composite sapropel-hemp slab, i.e. with respect to scalar function \(\theta(x,t)\).

Second, the coefficient of temperature conductivity \(\alpha(\theta)\) can be considered a step function along the vertical axis \(OX_3\) (i.e. relating to the layers). This circumstance, together with the assumption about the insignificance of thermal perturbations [13] in directions \(OX_1\) and \(OX_2\), allows to construct a one-dimensional by the spatial variable (i.e. in the vertical component) nonlinear inhomogeneous equation with respect to the heat propagation averaged over directions \(OX_1\) and \(OX_2\), vector velocity in a composite sapropel-hemp slab, i.e. with respect to scalar function \(\theta(x,t)\).

Third, due to the fact that the first and third layers interacting with the environment (i.e. facing – outer layers) of the considered composite slab are made of homogeneous fireproof materials (for example, made of clay; of mineral fibres; etc., moreover, it is not necessary that both facing are made of the same fireproof material), and the second layer (inner layer) is its sapropel-hemp component (solid non-periodic heterogeneous medium), then from a mathematical point of view the heating processes on the first and third layers should proceed with the heat flux relaxation mode [17]-[19].

Taking into account the corresponding basic fundamental laws of physics – the laws of molecular diffusion and the laws of heat transfer in solid non-periodic heterogeneous media [13]-[15], [20], – taking into account the above listed three circumstances, we will have the following mathematical model: for \(\forall t \geq 0\) it is required to determine the temperature field

\[
\dot{\theta} = \mathcal{L}(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1, \dot{\theta}_2, \dot{\theta}_3 \end{pmatrix} (x,t),
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{L}(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(x,t) \end{pmatrix} (x,t),
\]
\[ T(x,t) = \begin{cases} T^{[1]}(x,t), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \\ T^{[2]}(x,t), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \\ T^{[3]}(x,t), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \end{cases} \] (1)

at any point \( x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \) of the composite sapropel-hemp slab from

\[ \frac{\partial T^{[0]}(x,t)}{\partial t} + R \frac{\partial^2 T^{[0]}(x,t)}{\partial t^2} = - T_{\text{external}}(x,t) \] (2)

\[ = \text{div} \left( \alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{grad} T^{[0]}(x,t) \right) \right), x \in \text{int} P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \]

\[ = \text{two initial conditions:} \]

\[ T^{[1]}(x,t) \bigg |_{t=0} = T_0^{[1]}(x), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \] (3)

\[ \frac{\partial T^{[0]}(x,t)}{\partial t} \bigg |_{t=0} = T_1^{[0]}(x), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \] (4)

\[ = \text{six boundary conditions:} \]

- Neumann homogeneous boundary condition at the right end of the outer facing:
  \[ \frac{\partial T^{[0]}(x,t)}{\partial x_1} = 0, x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \] (5)

- Neumann homogeneous boundary condition at the left end of the outer facing:
  \[ \frac{\partial T^{[0]}(x,t)}{\partial x_1} = 0, x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \] (6)

- Neumann homogeneous boundary condition at the underside of the outer facing:
  \[ \frac{\partial T^{[0]}(x,t)}{\partial x_1} = 0, x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \] (7)

- Neumann homogeneous boundary condition at the upper side of the outer facing:
  \[ \frac{\partial T^{[0]}(x,t)}{\partial x_2} = 0, x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \] (8)

- Robin boundary condition at the outer surface of the outer facing:
  \[ -\chi \left( \frac{\partial T^{[0]}(x,t)}{\partial x_1} \right) + \beta^{m}_{\text{external}} \left( T^{[0]}(x,t) \right) + \theta_{\text{external}}(t) \bigg |_{m=0} = 0, x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \] (9)

- Dirichlet inhomogeneous boundary condition on the inner surface of the outer facing:
  \[ T^{[0]}(x,t) = T^{[2]}(x,t) \] (10)

\[ = \text{two conjugation conditions:} \]

\[ T^{[1]}(x,t) \bigg |_{x_{3}=\ell_{0}} = T^{[2]}(x,t) \bigg |_{x_{3}=\ell_{0}+0}, x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \] (11)

\[ \left( \alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{grad} T^{[1]}(x,t) \right) \right) \bigg |_{x_{3}=\ell_{0}} = \left( \alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{grad} T^{[2]}(x,t) \right) \right) \bigg |_{x_{3}=\ell_{0}+0} \] (12)

- corresponding consistency constraints of the initial functions from (3), (4) and boundary functions from (5)-(10);

- heterogeneous partial differential equation:

\[ \frac{\partial T^{[2]}(x,t)}{\partial t} = \text{div} \left( \alpha \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{grad} T^{[2]}(x,t) \right) \right) \]

\[ - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{grad} T^{[2]}(x,t) \cdot \text{grad} T^{[2]}(x,t) \] (13)

\[ + T^{[1]}(x,t) + T^{[3]}(x,t), x \in \text{int} P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \]

- one initial condition:

\[ T^{[2]}(x,t) \bigg |_{t=0} = T_0^{[2]}(x), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \] (14)

- six boundary conditions:

- Dirichlet inhomogeneous boundary condition at the right end of the sapropel-hemp material:
  \[ T^{[2]}(x,t) = T^{[2]}_{\text{right}}(x_2,x_3,t), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \] (15)

- Dirichlet inhomogeneous boundary condition at the left end of the sapropel-hemp material:
  \[ T^{[2]}(x,t) = T^{[2]}_{\text{left}}(x_2,x_3,t), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \] (16)

- Dirichlet inhomogeneous boundary condition at the underside of the sapropel-hemp material:
  \[ T^{[2]}(x,t) = T^{[2]}_{\text{inter}}(x_1,x_3,t), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \] (17)

- Dirichlet inhomogeneous boundary condition at the upper side of the sapropel-hemp material:
  \[ T^{[2]}(x,t) = T^{[2]}_{\text{outer}}(x_1,x_3,t), x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}} \] (18)

- Robin boundary condition at the surface of the sapropel-hemp material that is adjacent to the inner surface of the outer facing:

\[ h \frac{T^{[2]}(x,t) - T^{[1]}(x,t)}{\chi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{grad} \right) \bigg |_{x_{3}=\ell_{0}} = \frac{\partial T^{[2]}(x,t)}{\partial x_1}, x \in P_{0,0,0}^{L_{1},L_{2},L_{3}}; \] (19)

- Robin boundary condition at the surface of the sapropel-hemp material, which is adjacent to the inner surface of the inner facing:
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\[
\frac{\partial T^{[3]}(x,t)}{\partial t} - \frac{d}{dx}\left[\alpha\frac{\partial T^{[3]}(x,t)}{\partial x}\right] = \gamma(x,t), t > 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T^{[3]}(x,t)}{\partial x} = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \mathcal{P}_{L_x,L_y,L_z}^{0,0,0,0}, \\ \frac{\partial T^{[3]}(x,t)}{\partial x} = 0, & x \in \mathcal{P}_{L_x,L_y,L_z}^{0,0,0,0}. \end{cases}
\]

- four boundary conditions:

\[
T^{[2]}(x,t) \bigg|_{x=L_x} = T^{[1]}(x,t) \bigg|_{x=L_x}, \quad x \in \mathcal{P}_{L_x,L_y,L_z}^{0,0,0,0}.
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T^{[2]}(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=L_x} = \frac{\partial T^{[1]}(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=L_x}, \quad x \in \mathcal{P}_{L_x,L_y,L_z}^{0,0,0,0}.
\]

\[
T^{[2]}(x,t) \bigg|_{x=0} = T^{[1]}(x,t) \bigg|_{x=0}, \quad x \in \mathcal{P}_{L_x,L_y,L_z}^{0,0,0,0}.
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T^{[2]}(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial T^{[1]}(x,t)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=0}, \quad x \in \mathcal{P}_{L_x,L_y,L_z}^{0,0,0,0}.
\]

- six boundary conditions:

- Neumann homogeneous boundary condition at the right end of the inner facing:

\[
\frac{\partial T^{[3]}(x,t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{P}_{L_x,L_y,L_z}^{0,0,0,0}.
\]

- Neumann homogeneous boundary condition at the left end of the inner facing:

\[
\frac{\partial T^{[3]}(x,t)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial T^{[1]}(x,t)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{P}_{L_x,L_y,L_z}^{0,0,0,0}.
\]
Second peculiarity. (24)-(34) is a mathematical model for finding a function \( T^{[3]}(x,t) \), defined only on the third layer (i.e. on the inner facing) of a composite slab. As in model (2)-(12), this mathematical model includes the same unknown function \( T^{[2]}(x,t) \) (see (32), (34), (35)). This means that, without knowing the function \( T^{[2]}(x,t) \), it is impossible to determine the desired function \( T^{[3]}(x,t) \) from (25)-(35).

Third peculiarity. (13)-(24) is a mathematical model for determining the function \( T^{[2]}(x,t) \), defined only on the second layer (i.e. on the inner layer - in the sapropel-hemp part) of the composite slab. This model includes (see (13), (19)-(24)) both a function \( T^{[1]}(x,t) \), defined only on the first layer and a function \( T^{[3]}(x,t) \), defined only on the third layer. This means that without knowing the functions \( T^{[1]}(x,t) \) and \( T^{[3]}(x,t) \), it is impossible to determine the desired function \( T^{[2]}(x,t) \) from (13)-(24).

From the above listed peculiarities of the mathematical model (2)-(35), it becomes clear that this model (2)-(35) consists of three independent mathematical models interconnected by their sought functions \( T^{[1]}(x,t) \), \( T^{[2]}(x,t) \), \( T^{[3]}(x,t) \): of the mathematical model (2)-(12); mathematical model (13)-(24); mathematical model (25)-(35). As a rule, such a situation arises in those studied real problems of mathematical physics, in which the objects under study are multilayer objects or the investigated processes and phenomena occur in layered media [13], [22], [23]. When studying and solving mathematical models of this kind, describing real processes, phenomena or objects in many fields of science and technology, it is imperative to deal with a special class of problems in the theory of ordinary differential equations, called the Sturm-Liouville problem [24], the analysis and solution of which is often a rather cumbersome process and requires from the researcher either special knowledge in mathematics [13], [22]-[24], or the involvement of a professional mathematician in the research, who’s scientific interests cover both the theory of differential equations and mathematical physics.

Now let us briefly describe the meaning and purpose of the designations in model (2)-(35).

(A) First of all, we note that in the mathematical model (2)-(35), the sought functions are only two functions: function (1), which characterizes the unsteady temperature field in all three layers of the composite sapropel-hemp slab; function \( \vec{\beta}(x,t) \), that characterizes the vector velocity of heat propagation in a composite sapropel-hemp slab in directions \( OX_i \), \( OX_2 \), \( OX_3 \).

(B) Mathematical model (2)-(35) does not contain a separate sub model for determining the required function \( \vec{\beta}(x,t) \): in the next section, a separate mathematical model will be formulated (see (36)-(47)) to determine this function, or rather, to determine the heat propagation vector velocity averaged by directions \( OX_i \) in a composite sapropel-hemp slab, i.e. to define a scalar function \( \vec{\beta}_i(x,t) \) (see the second peculiarity at the beginning of this section).

(C) \( \text{div} \vec{A}(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial A_{ij}(x,t)}{\partial x_j} \), called the divergence operator, is a differential operator that maps a vector field \( \vec{A}(x,t) \) to a scalar field. At each fixed moment in time, the operator \( \text{div} \vec{A}(x,t) \) for each spatial point \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) determines how much the incoming and outgoing heat fluxes diverge. In the mathematical model (2)-(35), the operator \( \text{div}(\vec{\beta}) \) is present in equations (2), (13) and (25) with vector fields \( \vec{A} = a(\vec{\beta}(x,t)) \text{grad} T^{[1]}(x,t) \), \( j=1,3 \), where \( \text{grad} T^{[1]}(x,t) \), the called gradient of a scalar function \( T^{[1]}(x,t) \), \( j=1,3 \) is a vector whose coordinates are the partial derivatives of this scalar function \( T^{[1]}(x,t) \). In the mathematical model (2)-(35), the gradient \( \text{grad}(\vec{\beta}) \) is present in equations (2), (13), and (25) with scalar functions \( T^{[1]}(x,t) \), \( T^{[2]}(x,t) \) and \( T^{[3]}(x,t) \), respectively.

(D) \( R_i > 0 \) from equation (2) and \( R_2 > 0 \) from equation (24) are referred to as the heat flux relaxation coefficients in the outer facing (i.e. the first layer) and the inner facing (i.e. the third layer), respectively. These numerical coefficients are assumed to be known, for example, being determined experimentally. Further, \( \beta_i > 0 \) from the boundary condition (9) and from the boundary condition (33) characterize the averaged heat transfer property of the outer and inner facing, respectively. These numerical parameters are also assumed to be known. Further, \( m_i > 0 \) from the boundary condition
(33) characterize the diffusion properties of the outer and inner facings, respectively. These numerical values are also assumed to be known. Further, the meaning of positive numbers \( L_1, L_2, L_3, l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) is quite obvious from Fig. 1. All these numbers are obviously assumed to be known.

(E) All initial and boundary functions are assumed to be given a priori: initial functions \( T_0^{(1)}(x), x \in P_{L_1, L_2, L_3}, \)
\( T_0^{(2)}(x), x \in P_{L_1, L_2, L_3}, \)
\( T_0^{(3)}(x), x \in P_{L_1, L_2, L_3}, \)
\( T_0^{(4)}(x), x \in P_{L_1, L_2, L_3}; \) boundary functions \( T_{\text{min}}^{(1)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t), x_2 \in [0, L_2], x_3 \in [l_1, l_2], t \geq 0, \)
\( T_{\text{max}}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t), x_2 \in [0, L_2], x_3 \in [l_1, l_2], t \geq 0, \)
\( T_{\text{min}}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t), x_2 \in [0, L_2], x_3 \in [l_1, l_2], t \geq 0, \)
\( T_{\text{max}}^{(4)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t), x_2 \in [0, L_2], x_3 \in [l_1, l_2], t \geq 0; \) functions \( \theta_{\text{ext}}(t), t \geq 0, \theta_{\text{int}}(t), t \geq 0, \) meaning the ambient temperature from which the surfaces of the outer and inner facings perform heat exchange according to Newton’s law, respectively [13]; functions \( T_{\text{int}}(x,t), x \in \text{int} P_{L_1, L_2, L_3}, \)
\( T_{\text{ext}}(x, t), x \in \text{int} P_{L_1, L_2, L_3}, \) which characterize the density of heat sources in the environment, with which heat exchange occurs through the surfaces of the outer and inner facings, respectively.

(F) \( \alpha(\vec{V}(t)) = \frac{\chi(\vec{V}(t))}{c_p(\vec{V}(t))} \) is the temperature conductivity coefficient of a composite sapropel-hemp slab, where \( \chi(\vec{V}) \) denotes the thermal conductivity coefficient, \( c_p(\vec{V}(x_1, t)) \) denotes density, \( c_p \) denotes the specific heat capacity. Due to three peculiarities that were presented at the beginning of this section, in the mathematical model (2)-(35) this temperature conductivity coefficient is assumed to be a known step function for \( \forall x_1 \in [0, L_1], (i = 1, 2): \)
\[
0 < \alpha_{\min} \leq \alpha(\vec{V}(t)) \approx \alpha(\theta_{\text{int}}(x_1, t))
\]
\[
\alpha_i, \text{ if } 0 \leq x_1 \leq l_i,
\]
\[
\alpha_i, \text{ if } l_i < x_1 < l_{i+1},
\]
where \( \alpha_{i}, i = 1,3 \) are the constants.

III. MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR DESIRED VECTOR VELOCITY OF HEAT PROPAGATION

As it has been mentioned in the previous section, the function \( \vec{V}(x, t) \) characterizes the vector velocity of heat propagation in a composite sapropel-hemp slab along the directions \( OX_1, OX_2, OX_3 \). Assuming that the velocity of heat propagation along the axis \( OX_1 \) (i.e. along the thickness/height dimension of the sapropel-hemp slab) is much higher than the velocity of heat propagation in the other two directions (i.e. along the width and length dimensions of the sapropel-hemp slab), then the vector velocity \( \vec{V}(x, t) \) can be averaged along the direction of the \( OX_1 \) and \( OX_2 \) axes. Then, instead of the vector of the function \( \vec{V}(x, t) \), you can consider only its third component \( \partial_{x_3}(x, t) \). In this section, a mathematical model is proposed for finding this particular scalar function.

So, let us turn to the formulation of a mathematical model for a function \( \partial_{x_3}(x_1, t) \), that is present in many places of the mathematical model (1)-(34), but still remains undefined.

It is required to define a function \( \partial_{x_3}(x_1, t), x_1 \in [0, L_1] \) for \( \forall t \geq 0 \) from the following one nonlinear equation, one initial condition, four boundary conditions, two conjugation conditions, and four consistency constraints:

- heterogeneous partial differential equation:
\[
\frac{\partial \partial_{x_3}(x_1, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( \alpha(\theta_{\text{int}}(x_1, t)) \frac{\partial \partial_{x_3}(x_1, t)}{\partial x_1} \right)
\]
- initial condition:
\[
\partial_{x_3}(x_1, t) |_{t = 0} = \theta_{\text{int}}(x_1), \quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq L_1;
\]
- boundary conditions:
  - Dirichlet inhomogeneous boundary condition:
\[
\partial_{x_3}(x_1, t) |_{x_1 = 0} = \theta_{\text{ext}}(t), \quad 0 \leq x_1 \leq L_1;
\]
  - Neumann homogeneous boundary condition:
\[
\frac{\partial \partial_{x_3}(x_1, t)}{\partial x_1} |_{x_1 = 0} = 0,
\]
  - Neumann homogeneous boundary condition:
\[
\frac{\partial \partial_{x_3}(x_1, t)}{\partial x_1} |_{x_1 = L_1} = 0,
\]
  - Dirichlet inhomogeneous boundary condition:
The nomenclature of composite materials used in construction is constantly expanding, and innovative methods are developed that make it possible to improve the physical-mechanical, thermophysical, chemical, environmental, economic, etc. characteristics of materials: for example, resulting in substantial improvement of hydrophobicity, fire resistance, intensity of heat exchange processes, statistical and dynamic strength, endurance, energy efficiency, sound insulation, etc. One of the most beneficial from the environmental friendliness and cost-effectiveness standpoint within the nomenclature of the composite construction panels is considered to be a slab made of the hemp shives (acting as filler) and sapropel (acting as binding). Sapropels are a complex organic or organomineral complex of various chemical compounds, both preserved in the original biomass and newly formed in the process of biochemical metamorphism of organic substances [28]. In Latvia, the processes of their formation and accumulation are constantly ongoing, and for many water reservoirs these processes become progressive [28]. In Latvia, the processes of its formation and accumulation are ongoing, and for many freshwater lakes (over 3 thousand with an area larger than 1 ha) and swamps (over 6 thousand) [29]-[31], which are under the control of the "Latvian state forests" governmental institution, these processes are having a progressive nature: according to preliminary estimates, these reservoirs contain over 3 billion m$^3$ of sapropel. Due to the high degree of destruction of fragments of the original biomass, sapropel are characterized by a homogeneous structure with a high content of fine-grained particles and a high water-holding capacity.

Getting back to the constructed mathematical model (2)-(44), let us note that knowledge of the thermal field inside of a three-layer composite sapropel-hemp slab allows us to calculate (at least in first approximation) the strength of the enclosing and supporting structures built of such slabs under thermal loading conditions: specifically, the temperature changes are the root cause for appearing of additional strain in the structure [32]-[34]. However, in our opinion, in order to carry out an adequate strength calculation for the constructions under thermal loading conditions, it is necessary to consider the mathematical model (2)-(44) in a many component system: this is especially necessary if a construction built of composite sapropel-hemp slabs, refers to: (1) objects of landscape architecture; (2) objects of household facilities in rural areas; (3) self-contained buildings; (4) sites in an urban canyon-like area; (5) objects under the influence of
periodic or almost periodic aero-, hydro-, or lithodynamic flows [34]-[36]. The abovementioned means that the corresponding boundary conditions in (2)-(35) must be "stitched" at the left $P_{L}^{0,0,0}$ and right $P_{R}^{0,0,0}$ ends of each of two adjacent sapropel-hemp slabs. Since the construction consists, as a rule, of a multiple sapropel-hemp slabs, it seems that the specified "stitching" of the boundary conditions for each adjacent pair of slabs will significantly complicate the mathematical model (2)-(35) within its computer modeling implementation. In fact, if we consider the mathematical model (2)-(35) in a system of only two bodies/slabs and "stitching" the corresponding boundary conditions to carry out between the right end of the left adjacent slab and the left $P_{L}^{0,0,0}$ end of the right neighboring slab, then this approach will be quite acceptable averaging of the mentioned complex system consisting of many bodies/slabs: there is no need to apply other types of averaging (for instance, see fundamental monograph [34] as well as [37]).

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a 3D mathematical model is proposed to determine the dynamics of the temperature field in a three-layer composite sapropel-hemp parallelelepiped slab, in which the outer layers (fireproof facing) are bonded with hemp and sapropel mineralized fill. In the proposed mathematical model, due to the specifics of the material of the inner layer – sapropel-hemp material, it is assumed that, firstly, the temperature conductivity coefficient is a given step function along the vertical axis directed along the thickness dimension of the sapropel-hemp slab, and secondly, the velocity of heat propagation, along with the thermal field, is also considered to be the sought for function. The proposed model consists of a system of three initial-boundary value problems with respect to the temperature function for each layer, respectively, and one initial-boundary value problem with respect to the unknown velocity of heat propagation along the thickness dimension of the composite sapropel-hemp slab.

As it has been already mentioned at the end of Section III, the constructed model (2)-(44) can be solved by the method of separation of variables, by applying it separately to (2)-(35) and to (36)-(44) (to be more precise, to the linear initial-boundary value problem obtained after using the nondegenerate transformation (45)): we managed to find an analytical solution of the model (2)-(44) in a closed form, however, unfortunately, we have not yet managed to implement it in a computer-based model and carry out the corresponding numerical experiments.
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